



The Effects of Wetland Droughts on a Reserve in the African Continent

Lawan Bulama^{1*}, Ekeh Udeh Lawrence¹ and Ahmad Abubakar Umar²

¹*Department of Geography, Federal University, Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria.*

²*Umar Suleiman College of Education, Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author LB designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors EUL managed the analyses of the study. Author AAU managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJGR/2021/v4i230128

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Xu Chong, China Earthquake Administration, China.

Reviewers:

(1) Pedro Cerqueira Lima, Universidade Federal da Bahia (UFBA), Brazil.

(2) Trisha Deevia Bhaga, University of the Western Cape, South Africa.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67816>

Original Research Article

Received 17 February 2021

Accepted 27 April 2021

Published 28 April 2021

ABSTRACT

Climate change and its attendant fallouts such as drought, flood etc affect every aspects of environment including wetland ecosystem. This paper seeks to examine the effects of droughts on Dagona Waterfowl Sanctuary—an important wintering area for migratory birds in Bade local government, Yobe state, Nigeria. The study used annual rainfall data collected from the archives of the Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET) with respect to Nguru weather station in the area for a period of 1956-2015. Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) in conjunction with Percentage Deviation Below Mean (PDBM) models were applied for comprehensive drought detection on a time scale of twelve (12) months. SPI anomaly graphs were plotted to depict drought of varying magnitude. The researcher went round the sanctuary and made observations with the help of binocular and telescope. Findings explicitly revealed that the study area was replete with droughts of varying intensities ranging from mild, moderate, severe and extreme ones. And high magnitude droughts led to hydrological changes causing drying up of wetland water, decreased production of seeds that provide forage opportunities, intrusion of invasive species such as typha grasses forming dense biomass that hinder birds to prey on fishes or swim freely. The result of the analysis would add to the mainstream theoretical body of knowledge about droughts effects on wetlands. The

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Comradelb@yahoo.com, comradelawanbulama@gmail.com;

research concludes that droughts of high magnitude occurred variably and affected both native and migratory birds in the study area and hence recommend the integration of disasters like drought in the management strategies of the wetlands.

Keywords: Drought; wetland; SPI; waterfowl; sanctuary; birds; ecosystem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drought in the Sahel is a recurring phenomenon seen by climate experts as one of the fall outs of climate change. It has been a matter of serious concern to man since ancient times, and even today, it is an outstanding example of man's helplessness before nature's large scale and formidable phenomenon. It is ranked as one of the foremost among earth's natural hazards representing the adverse effect due to shortage of water mainly from rainfall [1]. An analysis by scientists at the National Centre for Atmospheric Research NCAR [2] hinted that droughts are the second most geographically extensive hazard after floods, covering 7.5 per cent and 11 per cent of the global land area each. The land area, population and GDP loss affected by drought amount to 970 million km², \$57.3 billion and \$108.6 billion US dollars respectively. The percentage of Earth's land area stricken by serious drought more than doubled from the 1970s to the early 2000s. Houghton et al. [3] observed that increase in the frequency and intensity of drought and other extreme events are due to global warming and climate change.

Droughts affect not only human population, but other organisms and their ecosystems. Hassan [4] discovers that even with adjustment in lifestyle, human beings cannot withstand the effect of high magnitude drought events. Drought which implies a period of unusually dry weather, extensive enough to cause severe decrease of water resources, modification of the natural ecosystem, interruption of ecological function and destabilization of aquatic life [5]. In arid and semi-arid regions, water birds are dependent on the availability of food and water in wetlands controlled by rainfall that is unpredictable in both timing and quantity [6,7], Igwenagu [8] observed that weather conditions have continued to change, more especially rainfall in the sub-Saharan African including north-eastern Nigeria. It has been estimated that between 50% and 75% of Yobe state is affected by climate change manifesting in the form of desertification and drought [9]. In the state, drought is recurrent with enormous effects on the environment which keeps increasing in both magnitude and complexity [10].

Dagona waterfowl sanctuary is part of Hadejia-Nguru wetland ecosystems situated in Yobe state Northeastern Nigeria. A sanctuary is any area of land or sea specially dedicated for the protection of biological diversity [11]. Dagona waterfowl sanctuary serves as a habitat for waterfowls, both local and migratory wild birds that visit the area from Europe [12,13]. It harbors a large number of breeding resident as well as exotic species of birds (Palearctic and Afrotropical migrants) that came all the way from Europe for wintering purpose. Annually, about 2.1 billion birds migrate from Eurasia to Africa in search for favorable weather and food [14,15]. During a visit by the former President of World Wildlife Fund, Prince Philip Duke of Edinburgh in February 1989 proclaimed and declared it as 'Site of Special Conservation Interest' (SSCI).

The sanctuary is well protected by fully armed rangers of the wetland sector to avoid invasion of poachers and hunters. And the conservation is for resources protection and maintenance for future prosperity [16]. According to Trautmann [17] birds breed on all of the continents on Earth and have adapted to almost every habitat with high spatial density within the tropic. Due to their dependence on water, tropical aquatic birds will be particularly vulnerable to climate change [18].

However, the wetland is threatened by the phenomenon of climate change, which over the years has shown high level of variability and uncertainty in the annual water flow regime [19]. And due to their dependence on water, tropical aquatic birds will be particularly vulnerable to climate change manifesting in the form of drought, flood, heat waves etc [18].

Therefore, it is on this note that this study seeks to examine the vagaries of severe and extreme droughts on flora and fauna, as well as the ecological settings of Dagona waterfowl sanctuary, with a view to provide insight on the changes in ecological character brought by the high magnitudes drought. This will help in the conservation, maintenance and protection initiatives to safeguard the site of ecological importance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dagona waterfowl sanctuary is situated in the Sahelian northern part of Yobe state. Geographically, it lies between 12° 40' 00" N of the equator and 10° 30' 00" E of the Greenwich meridian. It is within the ambit of Bade-Nguru wetlands sector of the Chad Basin National Park, it covers a total land area of about 938km² with numerous species of flora and fauna. According to Lameed [20], the sanctuary has a total population of about 135 bird species in three buffer sites namely Gastu Lake, Maram Lake and Oxbow Lake. Migratory Shikra, Ovambo sparrowhawk, Black-shouldered kite, Marsh harrier and palearctic bird species includes the Yellow Wagtail, the Warblers, Northern Shoveler, and Sandpipers etc whereas the sedentary species include Blach heron, Cattle egret, Squacco heron etc. refer to Table 1 for more on the waterbirds and their scientific names and residential status.

The hydrology of the sanctuary is derived from a confluence of two different rivers of Hadejia and Jama'are which inundate and drain the entire floodplains. However, the natural flow and the level of inundation is reduced to a greater extend due to the construction of Challawa and Tiga dams on the Hadejia river axis respectively.

The climate of the sanctuary is characterized by short wet season of about 3 to 4 months (June to

September) and long dry season from (October to May). Hamatan which is dry, cold and dusty period begins from November to March. It is during this period that migratory birds from Europe visit the sanctuary for the sole purpose of wintering. Rainfall is highly variable with annual total of less than 600mm and may fall to 250mm in some cases. The temperature is high with the monthly mean of about 29°C [21,22]. According to Manu [11], the temperature rises up to 43°C in the hottest months of May or June. The vegetation in the sanctuary is Sahelian Savanna characterized by few and short trees, shrubs and grasses. Plant species include acacia woodlands (*Acacia nilotica*, *Acacia senegalenses*), Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*), Doum palm, (*Hyphaene thebaica*) Tamarine trees (*Tamarindus indica*), African mahogany (*African swietenia*) etc. There is presence of widespread tall grasses called typha grass (*Typha domingensis*) which occupies significant pools, lakes and agricultural land in the basin.

2.1 Method of Analysis

Rainfall records spanning a period of 60 climatological years (1956-2015) for Nguru station was fully obtained from Nigerian meteorological agency (NIMET). The rainfall data was subjected to the SPI and PDBM indexes for the computation of SPI and PDBM values. Refer to Table 2 for locational characteristics of Nguru meteorological station.

Table 1. Some of the waterfowl and their scientific names in the sanctuary

S/N	Water-birds and their scientific names	Status
1.	Grey Heron (<i>Ardea cinerea</i>)	Resident
2.	Eurasian Bittern (<i>Botaurus stellaris</i>)	Migrant
3.	Spur Winged Geese (<i>Plectropterus gambensis</i>)	Resident
4.	Purple Heron (<i>Ardea purpurea</i>)	Migrant
5.	Little Egret (<i>Egretta garzetta</i>)	Migrant
6.	Quelea Birds (<i>Quelea quelea</i>)	Resident
7.	Ferruginous Duck (<i>Aythya nyroca</i>)	Threatened
8.	Hartlaub's Duck (<i>Pteronetta hartlaubi</i>)	Threatened
9.	Black Crowned Crane (<i>Balearica Pavorina</i>)	Threatened
10.	Damara Tern (<i>Sterna balaenarum</i>)	Threatened

Source: Compiled by the authors, 2021

Table 2. Meteorological station used and its geographic location

S/N	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation(m)
1.	Nguru	12 ° 58 ' N	10 ° 28 ' E	500

Source: Nigerian Meteorological Agency Oshodi, Lagos, 2010




Fig. 1. Map of the study area
 Source: GIS Lab. FUGA Geography Department

2.1.1 Drought detection using SPI index

Standardized precipitation index (SPI) is developed by McKee and his colleagues in 1993. The index is based only on precipitation and can be used to monitor conditions on a variety of timescales. It uses rainfall parameter as a sole climatological input for the computation. The nature of the SPI allows an analyst to determine the rarity of a drought or an anomalously wet event at a particular time scale for any station with precipitation records, it facilitates the identification of different drought types and known with computational simplicity and reliable results. The index is criticized for demanding long-term rainfall data and neglecting climatic variables like temperature. For instance, during drought period, the prevailing high temperatures do exacerbate the drought situation. The SPI calculation for any location is based on the long-term precipitation record for the desired period.

This long-term record is fitted to a probability distribution, which is then transformed into a normal distribution so that the mean SPI for the location and desired period is zero [23]. Drought occurs when SPI value reads negative whereas, a positive value indicates no drought. The greater the negative deviation, the more severity is the drought [24]. Following the standard procedure, the monthly rainfall totals were summed to form annual series for the station. And the annual values for the station was aggregated and totaled into a single series and the climatological long-term mean and standard deviation were arithmetically calculated within the Microsoft excel statistical software. The positive and negative anomalies from the long-term mean (normals) were calculated using the SPI formula as follows.

A SPI value is calculated using the formula below;

$$SPI = \frac{\chi_{ik} - \bar{x}}{\delta_i}$$

$$\text{Mean} = \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{N}$$

Where

χ_{ik} = Observed rainfall for the station

\bar{x} = Mean rainfall for the station

δ_i = Standard deviation for the station

The mean of the rainfall can be calculated using the formula as

$$\text{Mean} = \bar{x} = \frac{\sum ix}{N}$$

Where N is the number of rainfall observed

The standard deviation for the rainfall is computed using

$$\delta = \frac{\sqrt{\sum(x-x)^2}}{N}$$

After the computation of the SPI values, the derived positive and negative SPI values were then compared with the threshold SPI values adopted from Mckee et al. [25] for categorization and classification of the drought intensities as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Categorization of SPI values and drought severity levels

SPI values	Drought categories
-1.5 to -1.99	Severe Drought
-2.00 or less	Extreme Drought

Source: McKee et al. [25]

2.1.2 Drought detection using PDBM index

Percentage Deviation Below the Mean (PDBM) is applied in the assessment of drought often coupled with SPI index for comparatively performance evaluation [26]. It is adopted from Ayoade [27] that calculated drought conditions on the basis of percentage of departure or deviation from the normal rainfall value. It is parsimonious index with ease of calculation and generally useful and simple to understand by public. It is also challenged for demanding long-term rainfall data [27,26]. Refer to Table 4 for the description of categories of the various drought intensities as according to the index. The index is computed using the formula given as:

$$PDBM = \frac{\chi_i - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}} \times 100 \%$$

Where:

χ_i - is the annual rainfall Series

\bar{X} - is the mean of the entire series

Table 4. PDBM values and drought severity levels

PDBM Scale	Drought category
46-60%	Severe Drought
>60%	Disastrous Drought

Source: Ayoade [27]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The result of the analysis revealed that Nguru where the Dagona waterfall sanctuary is situated has faced the risk of severe and extreme droughts over the period of the study. It has the severe drought of highest frequencies occurring 9 times while extreme occurred 2 times. The years include: 1969 with SPI value (-1.688), 1972 (-1.688), 1973 (-1.462), 1977 (-1.820), 1982 (-1.802), 1984(-1.525), 1987(-1.568) and 1990 (-1.547) were the severe drought years on record during the period of 1956-2015 in the area. While 2009 and 2015 were years with extreme drought magnitude. See Table five (5) for details. The occurrence of droughts in the study area corresponds with the results obtained by Akinsola et al. [28] and USAID/Nigeria [29], that the study area over decades was ravaged by droughts that posed great threat to the sanctuary. These high magnitude droughts as pointed out by Eze [30] have serious consequences on the vital life support systems (rivers, wetlands sanctuary and rangelands) that provide means of livelihood to the people and other animals, such as birds in the study area. This finding of the research is in tandem with Mortimore [31] which discovered severe droughts that struck the Sahel region in the 1970s, leaving millions of people in famine and starvation including death of birds and their habitats. Moreover, the death of animals including birds in the 70s was due to severity as well as duration of the drought that snowballed to famine in the 80s. The prevalence of high magnitudes drought events is attributable to rainfall shortages or aberration that characterized the climatic behavior of the Sahelian region of which the study area is apart.

Table 5. High magnitude drought detected using SPI

SPI	Severe droughts with their values		Extreme droughts with their values	
	Year	SPI values	Year	SPI values
1	1969	-1.688	2009	-3.198
2	1972	-1.688	2015	-2.534
3	1973	-1.462		
4	1977	-1.820		
5	1982	-1.802		

Source: Compiled by the Authors, 2021

Table 6. High magnitude drought detected using PDBM Index

PDBM S/N	Severe drought with their scales		Disastrous drought with their scales	
	Year	PDBM scale (%)	Year	PDBM scale (%)
1	1982	49	1983	71
2	1987	57	1988	68
3	1984	59	2009	62
4	2003	55	2011	61
5	2012	47		
6	2014	59		

Source: Compiled by the Authors, 2021

3.1 Drought Occurrences in Nguru Station Based on PDBM Index

Results obtained from analysis as shown in Table six (6) indicated that the study area has one incidence of severe and disastrous drought which struck in 2003 and 1988, the worst magnitude drought. The Nguru station has six (6) number of severe and four (4) disastrous droughts. Moreover, the disastrous drought over Nguru occurred in 1985 (66%, PDBM scale), 1965 (73% PDBM scale) and 1996 (138% PDBM scale). This finding conformed to the results obtained by Hassan et al. [10] that drought is a recurrent event in the state. However, severe drought episode was detected in 2012 (47% PDBM scale) and 2014 (59% PDBM scale) respectively. See Table 6 for detail.

3.2 Effects of High Magnitude Droughts on Dagoana Waterfowls Sanctuary

The frequent occurrence of droughts in Yobe state has impacted negatively on the environment including Nguru/Hadejia wetlands where Dagoana wetland sanctuary is situated [30]. High magnitude droughts affect the ecological conditions of the sanctuary. It caused the river and its floodplain that made up the basin to dry up- leading to the death of aquatic organism that serve as feed to the avian population in the sanctuary. This result is in line with the findings of Eze [30] which discovered

that drought has negative consequences on the vital life support systems (rivers, wetlands and rangelands) that provide means of livelihood to the man as well as animals like birds. This finding is in congruent with Chamanpira et al. [32] and USAID/Nigeria [29] that opined high magnitude drought leads to decline in river, water table and aquifer discharge falls. It also corresponds with Olopin [33] which discovered drought aggravates water shortage leading to drying up of river and the wetland. The altered hydrology led to decline in species diversity and richness of both sedentary and palearctic migratory birds. This finding is in tandem with the results of Oyebande [34] and Polet [35] that discovered the number of waterfowl depends on the level of water draining and inundating over the floodplains of the wetland.

High magnitude drought led to the death of plants especially grasses that provide grain food such as seeds, leaves, tubers, and rhizomes to the avian population in the sanctuary. Edulious native plants/grasses for the water birds in the sanctuary include; wild rice (*Oriza sativa*), typha grass (*Typha domingensis*), Signal grass (*Brachiara decumbense*), Finger grass (*Digitaria decumbense*), goat weed (*Ajeratum conyzoides*), Kikuyuu grass (*Pennisetum pupureum*), snake weed (*Euphobia hirta*), Fox tail grass (*Cetaria africsna*), striga (*Striga aspara*), wild amaranth (*Amaratus spinosus*), Andropogon spp and the host of others.

This reduction of food availability made foraging and breeding difficult leading to migration of the birds to relatively areas with foraging opportunities. This consistently corroborates the results of Polet [35] that discovered deteriorating food availability may cause the migratory birds to leave early from their wintering site.

During the period of high magnitude drought, the prevailing temperature becomes high thereby exacerbating the drought and temperature conditions causing reduced wintering longevity and eventually early return of the migratory birds. This agrees with the findings of Polet [35] wherein he reported that good condition attracts high concentrations of waterfowl stay in the wetlands. Moreover, the findings of the study is in agreement with Hafner and Fasola [36], Deerenberg and Hafner [37] which revealed that conditions at breeding or wintering sites also influence population fluctuations of the water birds.

High magnitude drought caused intrusion of invasive plant species such as typha grasses (*Typha domingensis*) forming dense biomass on open-water, pools and lakes in the sanctuary. That slowed the flow of water, reduced the perimeter and hindered prey on fishes as they hide out of reach, breeding, free swimming, roosting and wintering of migratory birds. Moreover, it debased the ecological habitats of the birds. This finding agrees with the result of Bobbink et al. [38] who discovered that invasive weed species like typha grass alters and degrades natural wetlands habitats.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concludes that the effects of high magnitude droughts on the waterbirds and the ecological settings during the baseline period of 1956 to 2015 in the study area are glaring. And hence the paper recommends that agencies such federal ministry of water resources, Chad Basin Authority and NGOs to come to the rescue of the sanctuary by clearing the areas taken over by invasive water weeds like Typha grasses that reduced the perimeter and hindered aquatic breeding and wintering of local as well as migratory birds. It also recommends that experts in climate science should tailor their researches and investigations using computer models on drought to ascertain more climatic forces that caused quality deterioration of the habitat or sanctuary. The study further recommends

additional studies to be conducted using GIS and remote sensing tools to ascertain the threat posed by high magnitude droughts in the sanctuary.

Also more research can be conducted on non-climatic stressors and other anthropogenic perturbation that threaten the sanctuary ecosystem.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Jat ML, Singh P, Bhakar SR, Sharma SK. Drought Management. Daryaganj, New Delhi, India: Kalyani Publishers. 2010;1-39.
2. National Centre for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) report 2012 USA.
3. Houghton JT, Jenkins GJ, Ephraums JJ. Climate Change. United Kindom; 1990.
4. Hassan AG. Drought and drought mitigation in Yobe State, Nigeria. PhD Thesis University of Wolverhampton; 2018.
5. Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation. Special Reports on Climate Change. Cambridge University; 2012.
6. Petrie SA, Rogers KH. Satellite tracking of White-faced Whistling ducks in a semiarid region of South Africa. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 1997;16(4):1208–1213.
7. Zwarts L, Bijlsma RG, Vander Kamp J, Wymenga E. Living on the edge: Wetlands and Birds in a changing Sahel. KNNV publishing, Zeist, The Netherland; 2009.
8. Igwenagu CM. Trend analysis of rainfall pattern in Enugu State, Nigeria. *European Journal of Statistics and Probability*. 2015;3(3):12-18.
9. Bose MM, Mande KH, Sabo A, Abdullahi AC, Baji J, Babarinsa D. Climate change incidence analysis: Evidence from the Semiarid Zone Of North-Eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology*. 2018;12(3):55-62.
10. Hassan AG, Fullen MA, Oloke D. Problems of drought and its management in Yobe State, Nigeria. *Weather and Climate Extremes*. 2019;23:1–8. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2019.100192>

11. Manu SA. Effects of habitat fragmentation on the distribution of forest birds in South Western Nigeria with particular reference to the Ibadan Malimbés and other Malimbés. PhD Thesis, University of Oxford. 2000;205.
12. Ringim AS, Magige FJ, Jasson RM. A comparative study of species diversity of migrant birds between protected and unprotected areas of the Hadejia-Nguru Wetlands, Nigeria. *Tanzanian Journal of Science*. 2017;4(1):2-5.
13. Saleh A, Ahmed A. An assessment of the impact of human activities on wild birds and fishes in Dagona Waterfowl Sanctuary, Nigeria. *Agro-Science*. 2020; 19(3):40-44. Available:<https://doi.org/10.4314/as.v19i3.7>
14. Berthold P. *Bird Migration: A general survey*. Oxford University Press. 2001;12.
15. Hahn S, Bauer S, Liechti F. The natural link between Europe and Africa-2.1 billion birds on migration. *Oikos*. 2009;118:624–626.
16. IUCN. Hadejia-Nguru Wetlands Conservation Project (HNWCP). The Mission for International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN). 1988;2-4.
17. Trautmann C. Climate change impacts on bird species. In: D. T. Tietze (Eds.) *Bird Species How They Arise, Modify and Vanish*, Fascinating Life Sciences; 2018. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91689-7_12
18. Çagan H, Sekercioglu R, Primack B, Wormworth J. The effects of climate change on tropical birds. *Journal of Biological Conservation*. 2012;148(1):18.
19. Yahya S, Ahmad N, Abdalla RF. Multicriteria analysis for flood vulnerable areas in Hadejia–Jama'are river Basin Nigeria. *European Journal Science Resources*. 2010;42:71–83.
20. Lameed GA. Species diversity and richness of wild birds in Dagona-Waterfowl Sanctuary, Nigeria. *AJFAND*. 2012;12(5):6460–6478.
21. Ayoade JO. On drought and desertification in Nigeria. In *Environmental Issues and Management in Nigerian Development*, ed. P.O. Sada and F.O. Odemerho, 271–290. Ibadan: Evans Brothers (Nigerian Publishers) Limited; 1988.
22. Bulama L. Analysis of meteorological drought in the North-Eastern Zone of Nigeria (1956-2015) An Msc. Dissertation, Department of Geography Usmanu danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria; 2018.
23. Lucio PS, Molion LB, Valadão CA, Conde FC, Ramos AM, Melo ML. Dynamical outlines of the rainfall variability and the ITCZ role over the West Sahel. *Journal of Atmospheric and Climate Sciences*. 2012;2(1):337-350. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/acs.2012.2.23030>
24. Onchiri KM, Ang'awa F, Tonui KW. Effects of drought on food production in Asego division, Homabay County – Kenya. *Journal of Geography*. 2016;3(3):1–14.
25. McKee BT, Doesken NJ, Kleist J. The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. Eighth Conference on Applied Climatology, American Meteorological Society, Anaheim, California. 1993;179-186.
26. Umar AT. Frequency and spatial patterns of droughts in Nigeria. *Journal of Nigerian Meteorological Society*. 2013;11(1):58-72.
27. Ayoade JO. *Techniques in climatology*. Stirling-Horden Publishers Ltd, Ibadan, Nigeria; 2008.
28. Akinsola OA, Ezealor AU, Polet G. Conservation of waterbirds in the Hadejia-Nguru Wetlands, Nigeria: Current efforts and problems. *Ostrich*. 2000;71(1-2):118-121.
29. USAID/Nigeria. Nigeria biodiversity and tropical forestry assessment. USA: Chemonics International Inc. 2008;6-9.
30. Eze JN. Drought occurrences and its implications on the households in Yobe state, Nigeria. *Geoenvironmental Disasters*. 2018;5:18.
31. Mortimore M. *Adapting to drought: Farmers, famine and desertification in West Africa*. Cambridge University Press; 1989.
32. Chamanpira Gh, Zehtabian Gh, Ahmadi H, Malekian A. Effect of drought on groundwater resources; A study to optimize utilization management (Case Study: Alashtar Plain). *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*. 2014;3(10):48-56.
33. Olopin EA. Dam-induced drying-out of the Hadejia-Nguru wetlands, Northern Nigeria, and its implications for the fauna: In OT OT Proceeding African crane and wetland training workshop; 1993.
34. Oyebande L. Streamflow regime change and ecological response in the Lake Chad basin in Nigeria. *Hydro-ecology: Linking Hydrology- and Aquatic Ecology* (Proceedings of Workshop i ÎW2 held at

- Birmingham. UK, July 1999). IAHS Publ. no. 2; 1999.
35. Polet G. Waterfowl and flood extent in the Hadejia-Nguru wetlands of north-east, Nigeria. Bird Conservation International. 2000;10:203–209.
 36. Hafner H, Fasola M. Long-term monitoring and conservation of herons in France and Italy. Colonial Waterbirds. 1997;20:298–305.
 37. Deerenberg C, Hafner H. Fluctuation in population size and colony dynamics in the Purple Heron *Ardea purpurea* in Mediterranean France. *Ardea*. 1999;87: 217–226.
 38. Bobbink R, Beltman B, Verhoeven JTA, Whigham DF. Wetlands: Functioning, biodiversity conservation, and restoration. Ecological Studies. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2006;191:61-80.

© 2021 Bulama et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/67816>